

PPE Headwear literature review

**Personal Protective Equipment
(PPE): Headwear**

Version 3.0

Publication date: 18 August 2021

Key Information

Document title:	Standard Infection Control Precautions literature review: PPE (Headwear)
Date published/issued:	18 August 2021
Date effective from:	18 August 2021
Version/issue number:	3.0
Document type:	Literature review
Document status:	Final

Document information

Description:	This literature review examines the available professional literature on PPE (Headwear) in the healthcare setting.
Purpose:	To inform the Standard Infection Control Precaution (SICP) section on PPE (Headwear) in the National Infection Prevention and Control Manual in order to facilitate the prevention and control of healthcare associated infections in all health and care settings in Scotland.
Target Audience:	All health and care staff involved in the prevention and control of infection in Scotland.
Update/review schedule:	Updated as new evidence emerges with changes made to recommendations as required. Review will be formally updated every 3 years with next review in 2024
Cross reference:	National Infection Prevention and Control Manual
Update level:	Practice – No significant change Research – Further research required in specific use of headwear as PPE, as well as the use of Surgical helmet systems.

Contact

ARHAI Scotland Infection Control team:

Telephone: 0141 300 1175

Email: nss.hpsinfectioncontrol@nhs.scot

Version History

This literature review will be updated in real time if any significant changes are found in the professional literature or from national guidance/policy.

Version	Date	Summary of changes
3.0	August 2021	<p>Why should headwear be worn for infection control purposes?</p> <p>New question added for review.</p> <p>Are there any legislative requirements for the use of headwear as PPE for infection control purposes?</p> <p>New recommendation</p> <p>‘PPE should be CE marked (for products purchased prior to 01st January 2021 and in use till 31st December 2021) or UKCA marked (from 01st January 2021 onwards) and comply with the Regulation 2016/425 and the Personal Protective Equipment (Enforcement) Regulations 2018 (updated 2021)</p> <p>Why should headwear be worn for infection control purposes?</p> <p>New recommendation:</p> <p>Appropriate headwear should be donned:</p> <p>‘In procedures where there is a risk of splash injury from blood and body fluids (specifically in situations of blood borne viruses and high consequence infectious diseases) as PPE to protect the user’s hair and forehead from droplet contamination.’</p> <p>‘In surgeries where there is high risk of Surgical site infections, as source control, to prevent shed of micro-organisms into the surgical field.’</p> <p>When/where should headwear be worn?</p> <p>New recommendations:</p> <p>Theatre setting</p>

Version	Date	Summary of changes
		<p>'Headwear should be worn as part of surgical attire when entering restricted or semi restricted areas of the surgery.'</p> <p>'Headwear should be worn as PPE in procedures where splashing/spraying of body fluids is anticipated, this includes arthroplasties and renal surgeries.'</p> <p>'Headwear should be worn as source control, when performing clean/aseptic procedures where risk of infection is deemed to be high.'</p> <p>Non-theatre settings</p> <p>'Headwear is not deemed as a necessary component outwith the theatre setting, unless, in haemodialysis settings or when entering contaminant- free environments.'</p> <p>What type(s) of headwear should be used?</p> <p>New recommendations:</p> <p>'The choice of headwear should consider containment of shed particles, comfort and fit.'</p> <p>'The choice of headwear should be made, based on local policy, by the interdisciplinary team at the healthcare facility and may include headgear made up of a disposable or launderable re-useable, lint-free material which provides full hair and scalp coverage.'</p> <p>When should headwear be removed or changed?</p> <p>This has been reworded to say 'prior to leaving the dedicated clinical area (i.e the theatre setting);</p> <p>This has been reworded to say 'at the end of a single clinical procedure/task;</p> <p>This has been reworded to say 'at the end of a theatre session (for sessional use)</p> <p>This has been reworded to say 'immediately or as soon as possible if visibly soiled / contaminated with blood or body fluids.'</p>

Version	Date	Summary of changes
		<p>How should headwear be removed/disposed of?</p> <p>New recommendation:</p> <p>‘Head cover should be removed from behind the head-taking care to refrain from touching the head’</p> <p>This has been reworded to say ‘Disposable headwear should be disposed of as healthcare (including clinical) waste in appropriate waste receptacle/bin.’</p> <p>This has been reworded to say ‘Reusable headwear (used for PPE or source control) should be processed through a healthcare accredited laundry facility.’</p> <p>New recommendation:</p> <p>‘The employer shall ensure that removed/disposed PPE is “subsequently decontaminated and cleaned (if reusable) or, if necessary, destroyed.”</p> <p>What considerations should be made regarding religious and/or cultural head/face wear?</p> <p>This has been reworded to say:</p> <p>‘Head and/or face coverings worn for religious/cultural reasons must not impede patient care, nor compromise source control or impact on clinical practice. If PPE is required to protect against blood/body fluid exposure, religious/cultural head/face wear must not compromise the protective barrier. If worn, religious/cultural head/face wear should be clean and changed in accordance with uniform policy. All other items of PPE must comply with PPE attire for the respective task being performed.’</p>
2.0	May 2016	<p>When/Where should headwear be worn.</p> <p>This has been reworded to say ‘The whole surgical team should wear appropriate headwear whilst in the theatre setting.’</p> <p>How should headwear be removed/disposed of?</p> <p>New recommendation</p>

Version	Date	Summary of changes
		'Reusable headwear should be processed through a healthcare accredited laundry facility.'
1.0	January 2012	Defined as final

Approvals

Version	Date Approved	Name
3.0	July 2021	National Policies Guidance and Outbreaks Steering Group
2.0	April 2016	National Policies and Outbreaks Steering Group
1.0	January 2012	Steering (Expert Advisory) Group for SICPs and TBPs

Contents:

1	Objectives	9
2	Methodology	9
3	Discussion	10
3.1	Implications for practice.....	10
3.2	Implications for research	16
	Recommendations	17
	References.....	22
	Appendix 1: Specific standards relating to headwear as PPE in the healthcare setting.....	28
	Appendix 2 Grading of recommendations.....	29

1 Objectives

The aim of this review is to examine the extant professional literature regarding the use of headwear as Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) for standard infection control purposes in the clinical setting. The specific objectives of the review are to determine:

- Are there any legislative requirements for the use of headwear as PPE for infection control purposes?
- Why should headwear be worn for infection control purposes?
- When/where should headwear be worn?
- What type(s) of headwear should be used?
- When should headwear be removed or changed?
- How should headwear be removed/disposed of?
- How should headwear be stored?
- What considerations should be made regarding religious and/or cultural head/face wear?

N.B. The use of PPE as protection against either suspected or known specific infectious agents is considered as part of the Transmission Based Precautions (TBPs), and is therefore not within the scope of this review. Furthermore, this review did not assess the use of headwear in non-clinical settings where there may be a health and safety requirement for wearing specialist headwear, for example in either estates or kitchen environments.

2 Methodology

This targeted literature review was produced using a defined two-person, systematic methodology as described in the National Infection Prevention and Control Manual:

[Development Process](#).

3 Discussion

3.1 Implications for practice

Are there any legislative requirements for the use of headwear as PPE for infection control purposes?

The wearing of PPE in the healthcare setting is covered by the Health and Safety at Work Act (1974)¹, Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) Regulations (2002 as amended)² and the Personal Protective Equipment at Work Regulations (1992 as amended)³. However, there are no specific legislative requirements regarding the use of headwear as PPE for infection control purposes.

The Health and Safety at Work Act broadly covers the use of PPE, but is not healthcare specific¹. COSHH regulations provide details in relation to hazardous materials and the use of PPE. They may be viewed as a detailed schedule of the Health and Safety at Work Act, including information on potential pathogens encountered in the healthcare environment and the use of appropriate PPE; for example, the use of gloves to protect against blood borne viruses during venepuncture. The Personal Protective Equipment at Work Regulations provide further general guidance on the use of PPE and relate to activities within the workplace which are not perceived to involve contact with hazardous materials. As an example, this could be the use of gloves to protect against glass fragments when cleaning up broken glass; however, if the glass contained a laboratory sample then the activity would be covered by COSHH regulations^{2, 3}.

All of the UK legislation and regulations outline the responsibilities of the employer and employee and also cover any unnecessary exposure to risk of service users, i.e. they cover NHSScotland, NHSScotland employees as well as NHSScotland patients. Both COSHH and the PPE at work regulations outline that if there is exposure to harmful substances, it should be eliminated/prevented in the workplace, but where avoidance of this is not reasonably practicable, a risk assessment should be performed and control measures employed which are appropriate to the activity^{2, 3}

As outlined by legislation, PPE must be suitable for the task being undertaken, fit appropriately and, if being worn with other pieces of PPE, the pieces should be compatible with each other and in wearing them together, do not reduce the level of protection³. PPE should be CE marked

(for products purchased prior to 01st January 2021 and in use till 31st December 2021) or UKCA marked (for products purchased from 01st January 2021 onwards) and should comply with Regulation 2016/425 and the Personal Protective Equipment (Enforcement) Regulations 2018: Guidance 4^{4, 5}.

Employers must provide adequate instruction and information on how to correctly use and store PPE provided. This must be based on manufacturer's instructions, and employees in turn, have a responsibility to comply, by ensuring that suitable PPE is worn correctly for the task being carried out and is kept in good condition^{3, 4}

Employers should make sure that PPE is checked at regular intervals and if found defective, be either replaced or repaired before further use².

Specific standards relating to headwear as PPE in the healthcare setting are outlined in [Appendix 1](#) of this document.

Why should headwear be worn for infection control purposes?

The rationale for the use of headwear for infection control purposes is twofold; as PPE, to protect the wearer from sources of infection e.g. splashing or spraying of blood (specifically from blood-borne viruses), and as source control to prevent shed of micro-organisms into the surgical field and hence protecting others from the wearer as a source of infection^{6, 7}

There is extremely limited evidence in the area of specific use of headwear as PPE for infection control purposes, with most studies being experimental or expert opinion in nature. The majority of these studies involve surgical helmet suits. A German study identified that Surgical Helmet suits can efficiently reduce droplet contamination of the surgeon from blood splashes and bodily fluids, particularly in the forehead region as compared to conventional gowns/caps however this was significant only for hip arthroplasty procedures and not for knee arthroplasty. As the trials were neither blinded, nor randomized, the results could suffer from bias⁸. The authors of another experimental study conducted in New Zealand also recommend that Space suits/ Surgical helmet systems have a role as PPE in arthroplasty procedures, however it was not backed by scientific evidence⁹. One recommendation on use of Surgical helmet systems from USA, based largely on expert opinion, suggests that these systems should be used in renal surgeries conducted in high-risk patients with blood-borne viruses to protect the user from splash injury⁷. However, the use of Surgical helmet suits in NHS Scotland remains extremely limited.

Covering of hair, scalp and facial hair as a means of source control, has been recommended widely in literature ¹⁰, to prevent shed of micro-organisms into the surgical field and to prevent contamination of contaminant-free environments such as clean rooms ¹¹. This will be covered in more detail in the section on when/where should headwear be worn ⁶ and what type of headwear should be worn.

Two technical documents from the ECDC highlight the use of hair cover as part of PPE attire when assessing patients with High consequence infectious diseases ^{12, 13}. This is covered in more detail in the [NIPCM Literature review of PPE for infectious diseases of high consequence](#).

When/where should headwear be worn?

The majority of evidence identified on this topic is specific to the surgical theatre settings. However, there is no clear consensus in the guidance relating to the use of headwear within this setting. While the Hospital Infection Society (HIS) Working Party on Infection Control in Operating Theatres considers that it is not necessary for non-scrubbed staff to wear headwear ¹⁴, the Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland (AAGBI), and the Association of Operating Room Nurses (AORN) advocate the use of headwear for all personnel in the surgical and wider perioperative settings^{15 10}. Six pieces of expert opinion were identified which recommend donning headwear as part of surgical attire when entering 'restricted or semi restricted areas' ^{6, 16-20}.

There is clear consensus however, in the evidence regarding some procedures and the wearing of headwear by the whole theatre team, primarily for source control purposes to prevent occurrence of infections, these include arthroplasty, graft surgery and while performing clean, aseptic procedures where the risk of surgical site infection is deemed to be high ^{15, 21-24}. In addition, guidance from the Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology (APIC) also advocate the use of caps as part of 'maximal sterile barrier precautions' within the haemodialysis setting ²⁵ and the American Association of Nurse Anaesthetists recommend its use upon central venous catheter insertion in order to reduce incidence of central line-associated bloodstream infections ¹⁹.

Covering of head is also recommended as part of NHS England and NHS Improvement's Standard infection control precautions policy before entering contaminant-free environments such as clean rooms and decontamination units ²⁶. Public Health England also re-iterate this

point in Covid-19 related infection prevention recommendations where they recommend covering hair when entering clean rooms to prevent environmental contamination¹¹.

There was limited guidance with regards to non-theatre settings, the literature that was identified stated that head cover was not routinely required in clinical areas unless performing a sterile/aseptic procedure or as part of surgical attire in operating theatre ^{11, 27}.

It is therefore recommended that all NHSScotland surgical staff wear appropriate headwear whilst in the theatre setting. In addition, headwear which is appropriate to the procedure being undertaken should always be worn by staff in other settings. Headwear is not deemed as a necessary component outwith the theatre setting unless performing sterile aseptic procedure or in a haemodialysis setting.

What type(s) of headwear should be used?

There is agreement in the identified evidence that headwear which completely covers the hair is recommended to minimise contamination during surgery (source control) ^{6, 23, 24 18, 26}, with two pieces of expert opinion further stating the requirement of additional coverage of nape of neck, sideburns and facial hair^{10, 19}. However, the evidence regarding full ear coverage is mixed, with some guidelines recommending full coverage of the ears ²² to prevent bacterial shed ²⁸, whereas, more recent guidelines have stated that there is no scientific evidence to support this recommendation ^{17, 29}.

Multiple studies looking at different types of headwear (e.g. disposable bouffant hats, cloth skull caps) in operative settings failed to identify any association between headwear type and the incidence of surgical site infections ³⁰⁻³². One experimental study found disposable bouffant hats to be more porous and permeable compared to cloth skull caps upon fabric analysis, however on active sampling there was no difference in microbial shed between the different hat types³³. In light of this evidence, recent guidelines from AORN recommend that the choice of headwear should be left at the discretion of the members of the surgical team ^{17, 34}, with due consideration being given to comfort, fit and particle shed ¹⁶. In Anaesthesia care, use of both reusable cloth and single use caps is recommended ²³. A report from the working party group recommends wearing of disposable headgear by all scrubbed staff for prosthetic implant procedures ¹⁴. NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde's uniform policy 2014 also recommends usage of disposable /single-use caps in theatres ²⁰.With regards to cloth caps, many expert opinions recommend freshly laundered lint-free/low-lint hats ^{6, 18, 23}.

Headwear which completely covers the hair is recommended for use across theatre settings in NHSScotland.

When should headwear be removed or changed?

The majority of the evidence identified relates to surgical/operative settings, with very limited evidence from non-operative settings. A significant number of guidance documents/ expert opinions recommend removal of visibly soiled headwear immediately/ or as soon as possible after the procedure, and before leaving the respective clinical area^{6, 16, 19, 24, 26, 34}. A recent document from AORN recommends changing of headwear at the end of the shift/ or when contaminated¹⁷, while an older non-systematic review from AORN and the NHS Borders uniform policy from 2019 recommend that headwear should be changed daily / or when visibly contaminated^{10, 35}. The American college of Surgeons however, state that if headwear has been worn during dirty or contaminated cases, then it should be removed prior to the subsequent case even if not visibly soiled²⁴.

A short report from AORN regarding headwear use during Covid-19 states that removal of head coverings should be performed immediately after contact with a case and then left at the respective facility for laundering (if re-usable)³⁴, whereas another document from Public Health England on remobilisation of services during Covid-19 stated that headwear should be changed between shifts or when visibly contaminated¹¹.

It is therefore recommended that NHSScotland theatre staff should change headwear at the end of a clinical procedure/task, or prior to this if contaminated with blood or bodily fluids. For theatre settings, headwear should be changed at the end of a theatre session and before leaving the theatre setting.

How should headwear be removed/disposed of?

Limited evidence was identified relating to appropriate removal and disposal of headwear. Following completion of a procedure, head cover should be removed from behind the head, after performing hand hygiene³⁶. Care should be taken when removing surgical caps to refrain from touching the head. If wearing double gloves, the outer gloves should be removed following sterile glove protocol prior to headwear removal¹⁹.

There is general consensus among literature that, 'single use headwear' should be disposed of as healthcare (including clinical) waste within an appropriate waste receptacle^{6, 10}. Reusable headwear should be processed through a healthcare accredited laundry facility; home laundering is not recommended^{10, 17, 18}. Whether items are accepted into healthcare accredited laundry facilities should be agreed upon at a local level through NHS Board laundry policies.

How should headwear be stored?

There was insufficient evidence to enable discussion regarding the storage of headwear in the healthcare setting, with only two pieces of PPE legislation identified^{2, 3}.

Headwear should be stored away from direct sunlight, heat sources and liquids, including chemicals, in an area that is clean and protects it from contamination. Clean headwear must be stored separately (or physically separated, i.e a separate compartment) from used or contaminated headwear. Legislation also states that re-usable PPE should be decontaminated and cleaned before storage to prevent cross contamination of the accommodation³. Clean PPE must be stored above floor level in enclosed carts, cabinets, or dispensing machines that are cleaned and disinfected regularly^{17, 37}.

What considerations should be made regarding religious and/or cultural head/face wear?

Insufficient evidence was identified in this review with regards to religious/cultural headwear in health and care settings. Patient safety comes first, taking cognisance of religious and cultural beliefs. Head and/or face coverings worn for religious/cultural reasons must not impede patient care or impact on clinical practice²⁴ and are not considered PPE. If PPE is required to protect against blood/body fluid exposure, religious/cultural head/face wear must not compromise the protective barrier, and personal protective headwear must be worn on top of religious/cultural headwear. If worn, religious/cultural head/face wear should be clean and changed in accordance with uniform policy¹¹. Furthermore, remaining items of PPE must comply with PPE attire for the respective task being performed³

3.2 Implications for research

There was a paucity of data across the subject of headwear and so local NHS board policies have been cited throughout this review. Further research into the use of headwear as both personal protective equipment and source control is required.

The majority of identified evidence within the literature was specific to surgical theatre settings. The necessity for wider research would need to be balanced against the perceived risks associated with the wearing versus not wearing of headwear within various other clinical settings. In addition, specific evidence outlining the potential merits of different types of headwear as PPE would also be beneficial.

Several studies assessing the effectiveness of surgical exhaust helmets (as part of surgical space suits) and body exhaust gowns, for use within dedicated surgical settings, were also identified as part of this review^{8, 38-41}. Overall, the results demonstrated that use of surgical helmets was associated with very limited or no increased benefit, in comparison to wearing a standard surgical gown and cap/no headwear^{8, 9, 42, 43} whereas, Fraser et.al failed to identify any significant difference in contamination between the two types of headwear³⁹. One study concluded that usage of some sort of headwear (SHS or CG and cap) was able to significantly reduce bacterial emissions at wound area as compared to no head cover, specifically in arthroplasty procedures⁴⁴, however, these were mock experiments with no standardisation and absence of blinding. A randomised controlled trial involving total knee arthroplasties also reached to a similar conclusion although it had multiple limitations in study design⁴⁵, including no follow-up with patients and only aerobic bacteria were assessed. One piece of expert opinion examined the usage of helmets as non-invasive ventilation interfaces to avoid aerosolisation when there are PPE shortages, however this recommendation is extremely specific to a pandemic scenario and may not be applicable under normal circumstances⁴⁶. It is anticipated that further literature will be published relating to the use of surgical helmets in certain surgical settings. Future literature review updates may need to consider the potential benefits and risks associated with the use of these.

Furthermore, there may be a need to clarify or expand legislation relating to the use of appropriate headwear as PPE in the healthcare setting. At present much of the legislation relates to the handling and management of dangerous substances and/or chemicals, and is generally not relevant to infection prevention within a clinical environment.

Recommendations

This review makes the following recommendations based on an assessment of the extant professional literature on headwear as PPE for standard infection control purposes in the **clinical** care environment:

Are there any legislative requirements for the use of headwear as PPE for infection control purposes?

There is no direct legislative requirement to wear head protection for the purposes of the prevention and control of infection; however the Health and Safety at Work Act (1974), Control of Substances Hazardous to Health 2002 (as amended) Regulations and Personal Protective Equipment at Work Regulations 1992 (as amended) legislate that employers (i.e. NHSScotland) must provide PPE which affords adequate protection against the risks associated with the task being undertaken. Employees (i.e. healthcare workers) have a responsibility to comply by ensuring that suitable PPE is worn correctly for the task being carried out.

(Mandatory)

PPE should be CE marked (for products purchased prior to 1st January 2021 and in use till 31st December 2021) or UKCA marked (from 01st January 2021 onwards) and comply with the Regulation 2016/425 and the Personal Protective Equipment (Enforcement) Regulations 2018 (updated 2021)

(Mandatory)

Specific standards relating to the quality and performance of headwear are outlined in [Appendix 1](#).

Why should headwear be worn for infection control purposes?

Appropriate headwear should be donned:

In procedures where there is a risk of splash injury from blood and body fluids (specifically in situations of blood borne viruses and high consequence infectious diseases) as PPE to protect the user's hair and forehead from droplet contamination.

(Category C recommendation)

In surgeries where there is high risk of Surgical site infections, as source control, to prevent shed of micro-organisms into the surgical field.

(Category C recommendation)

When/where should headwear be worn?

Theatre setting

Headwear should be worn as part of surgical attire when entering restricted or semi restricted areas of the surgery

(Category C recommendation)

The whole surgical team should wear appropriate headwear whilst in the theatre setting.

(Category C recommendation)

Headwear which is appropriate to the procedure being undertaken should always be worn by theatre staff.

(Category C recommendation)

Headwear should be worn as PPE in procedures where splashing/spraying of body fluids is anticipated, this includes arthroplasties and renal surgeries

(Category C recommendation)

Headwear should be worn as source control, when performing clean/aseptic procedures where risk of infection is deemed to be high.

(Category C recommendation)

Non-theatre settings

Headwear is not deemed as a necessary component outwith the theatre setting, unless in haemodialysis settings or when entering contaminant- free environments.

(Category C recommendation)

What type(s) of headwear should be used?

Headwear for use in the theatre setting should completely cover the hair.

(Category C recommendation)

The choice of headwear should consider containment of shed particles, comfort and fit.

(Category C recommendation)

The choice of headwear should be made, based on local policy, by the interdisciplinary team at the healthcare facility and may include headgear made up of a disposable or launderable re-useable, lint-free material which provides full hair and scalp coverage.

(Category C recommendation)

When should headwear be removed or changed?

Headwear must be removed or changed:

- prior to leaving the dedicated clinical area (i.e the theatre setting);
- at the end of a single clinical procedure/task;
- at the end of a theatre session (for sessional use)
- immediately or as soon as possible if visibly soiled/contaminated with blood or body fluids;
- in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.

(Category C recommendation)

How should headwear be removed/disposed of?

Head cover should be removed from behind the head-taking care to refrain from touching the head.

(Category C recommendation)

Disposable headwear should be disposed of as healthcare (including clinical) waste in appropriate waste receptacle/bin.

(Category C recommendation)

Reusable headwear used for PPE or source control should be processed through a healthcare accredited laundry facility.

(Category C recommendation)

The employer shall ensure that removed/disposed PPE is “subsequently decontaminated and cleaned (if reusable) or, if necessary, destroyed.”

(Mandatory)

How should headwear be stored?

Headwear should be stored away from direct sunlight, heat sources and liquids, including chemicals, in an area that is clean and protects it from contamination.

(Mandatory)

What considerations should be made regarding religious and/or cultural head/face wear?

Head and/or face coverings worn for religious/cultural reasons must not impede patient care, nor compromise source control or impact on clinical practice. If PPE is required to protect against blood/body fluid exposure, religious/cultural head/face wear must not compromise the protective barrier. If worn, religious/cultural head/face wear should be clean and changed in accordance with uniform policy. All other items of PPE must comply with PPE attire for the respective task being performed.

(Category C recommendation)

References

1. UK Government. Health and Safety at Work etc Act 1974, Available online at <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1974/37/contents>. (1974, accessed 16/04/2021).
2. UK Government. The Control of Substances Hazardous to Health Regulations 2002 (as amended), Available online at <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2002/2677/regulation/7/made>. (2002, accessed 10/05/2021).
3. UK Government. The Personal Protective Equipment at Work Regulations 1992 (as amended), Available online at <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/1992/2966/contents/made>. (1992, accessed 16/04/2021).
4. UK Government. The Personal Protective Equipment (Enforcement) Regulations 2018, Available online at <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2018/390/contents/made>. (2018, accessed 30/12/2020).
5. UK Government. Regulation 2016/425 and the Personal Protective Equipment (Enforcement) Regulations 2018. Guidance v4 (2021), Available at https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/980124/Guide-to-ppe-regulations-2018-tp-version-4.pdf (2021, accessed 25/04/2021).
6. Association of Surgical Technologists. Standards of Practice for Surgical Attire, Surgical Scrub, Hand Hygiene and Hand Washing Available online at https://www.ast.org/uploadedfiles/main_site/content/about_us/standard_surgical_attire_surgical_scrub.pdf. (2008, accessed 16/04/2021).
7. Eandi JA, Nanigian DK, Smith WH, et al. Use of a surgical helmet system to minimize splash injury during percutaneous renal surgery in high-risk patients. *Journal of Endourology* 2008; 22: 2655-2656.
8. Wendlandt R, Thomas M, Kienast B, et al. In-vitro evaluation of surgical helmet systems for protecting surgeons from droplets generated during orthopaedic procedures. *Journal of Hospital Infection* 2016; 94: 75-79. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhin.2016.05.002.

9. Young SW, Chisholm C and Zhu M. Intraoperative contamination and space suits: a potential mechanism. *European journal of orthopaedic surgery & traumatologie* 2014; 24: 409-413.
10. Braswell M and Spruce L. Implementing AORN Recommended Practices for Surgical Attire. *AORN Journal* 2012; 95(1): 122-140.
11. Public Health England. COVID-19: Guidance for the remobilisation of services within health and care settings. Infection prevention and control recommendations., Available online at https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/910885/COVID-19_Infection_prevention_and_control_guidance_FINAL_PDF_20082020.pdf. (2020, accessed 08/12/2020.).
12. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control ECDC. Technical guidance-Safe use of personal protective equipment in the treatment of infectious diseases of high consequence, Available online at <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/media/en/publications/Publications/safe-use-of-ppe.pdf>. (2014, accessed 18/01/2021).
13. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control ECDC. Use of personal protective equipment for safe first assessment of Persons Under Investigation of Ebola virus disease in the EU/EEA, Available online at <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/media/en/publications/Publications/Use-of-PPE-for-safe-first-assessment.pdf>. (2014, accessed 16/04/2021).
14. K. Woodhead, E. W. Taylor, G. Bannister, et al. Behaviours and rituals in the operating theatre. A Report from the Hospital Infection Society Working Party* on Infection Control in Operating Theatres. . *Journal of Hospital Infection* 2002; 51: 241-255.
15. Gemmell L, Birks R, Radford P, et al. Infection Control in Anaesthesia. Guidelines from the Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland. *Journal of the Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland* 2008; 63: 1027-1036.
16. American society of Anesthesiologists. Guidelines for Surgical Attire 2019, Available online at <https://www.asahq.org/standards-and-guidelines/guidelines-for-surgical-attire>. (2019, accessed 16/04/2021).

17. Association of periOperative Registered Nurses. Guideline for Surgical Attire 2019, Available online at <https://pdihc.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/AORN-Guideline-for-Surgical-Attire.pdf>. (2019, accessed 10/12/2020).
18. Thurston A. Sacred rituals in the operating theatre: Summarising the science. *Current Orthopaedics* 2004; 18(2): 135-146.
19. American Association of Nurse Anesthetists. Infection Prevention and Control Guidelines for Anesthesia Care 2015, Available online at [https://www.aana.com/docs/default-source/practice-aana-com-web-documents-\(all\)/infection-prevention-and-control-guidelines-for-anesthesia-care.pdf?sfvrsn=850049b1_2](https://www.aana.com/docs/default-source/practice-aana-com-web-documents-(all)/infection-prevention-and-control-guidelines-for-anesthesia-care.pdf?sfvrsn=850049b1_2). (2015, accessed 16/04/2021).
20. NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde. STAFF UNIFORM AND DRESS POLICY, <https://www.nhsggc.org.uk/media/235643/nhsggc-staff-uniform-policy-approved-27-10-14.pdf> (2014, accessed 16/04/2021).
21. McHugh SM, Corrigan MA, Hill AD, et al. Surgical attire, practices and their perception in the prevention of surgical site infection. *The surgeon : journal of the Royal Colleges of Surgeons of Edinburgh and Ireland* 2014; 12: 47-52. Review.
22. Owers KL, James E and Bannister GC. Source of bacterial shedding in laminar flow theatres. *Journal of Hospital Infection* 2004; 58: 230-232.
23. Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists. Guideline on infection control in anaesthesia 2015, Available online at <https://www.anzca.edu.au/resources/professional-documents/guidelines/ps28-guidelines-on-infection-control-in-anaesthesia>. (2015, accessed 18/01/2021).
24. American College of Surgeons. Statement on Operating Room Attire 2016, Available online at <https://www.facs.org/about-ac/s/statements/87-surgical-attire>. (2016, accessed 16/04/2021).
25. The Association of Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology (APIC). Guide to the Elimination of Infections in Haemodialysis, Available online at https://www.esrdnetwork.org/sites/default/files/content/pdf/regulations/APIC_Hemodialysis_.pdf#:~:text=Guide%20to%20the%20Elimination%20of%20Infections%20in%20Hemodialysis,control%2C%20and%20hospital%20epidemiology%20in%20healthcare%20settings%20around. (2010, accessed 16/04/2021).

26. NHS England and NHS Improvement. Standard infection control precautions: national hand hygiene and personal protective equipment policy, Available online at https://improvement.nhs.uk/documents/4957/National_policy_on_hand_hygiene_and_PPE_2.pdf. (2019, accessed 14/12/2020).
27. Australian Government. Guidance on the minimum recommendations for the use of personal protective equipment (PPE) in hospitals during the COVID-19 outbreak, Version 9.0, Available online at <https://www.health.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/2020/11/guidance-on-the-use-of-personal-protective-equipment-ppe-in-hospitals-during-the-covid-19-outbreak.pdf>. (2020, accessed 13/04/2021).
28. Spruce L. Surgical Head Coverings: A Literature Review. *AORN Journal* 2017; 106: 306-316.e306. DOI: 10.1016/j.aorn.2017.08.001.
29. American College of Surgeons. Consensus Statement from the Meeting of ACS, AORN, ASA, APIC, AST, and TJC Concerning Recommendations for Operating Room Attire, Available online at <https://www.facs.org/about-acsc/consensus-statements/or-attire>. (2018, accessed 16/04/2021).
30. Haskins IN, Prabhu AS, Krpata DM, et al. Is there an association between surgeon hat type and 30-day wound events following ventral hernia repair? *Hernia* 2017; 21: 495-503. Review.
31. Kothari SN, Anderson MJ, Borgert AJ, et al. Bouffant vs Skull Cap and Impact on Surgical Site Infection: Does Operating Room Headwear Really Matter? *Journal of the American College of Surgeons* 2018; 227: 198-202.
32. Rios-Diaz AJ, Chevrollier G, Witmer H, et al. The art and science of surgery: Do the data support the banning of surgical skull caps? *Surgery (United States)* 2018; 164: 921-925. 1000.
33. Markel TA, Gormley T, Greeley D, et al. Hats Off: A Study of Different Operating Room Headgear Assessed by Environmental Quality Indicators. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons* 2017; 225: 573-581. Conference Paper.
34. Association of periOperative Registered Nurses. AORN Guidelines in the Era of COVID-19, Available online at <https://aornjournal.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1002/aorn.13331>. (2021, accessed 16/04/2021).

35. NHS Borders. Dress Code, Uniform and Laundering Policy 2019, https://www.nhsborders.scot.nhs.uk/media/154759/Dress_Code_Uniform_Policy.pdf (2019, accessed 16/04/2021).
36. World Health Organisation. Steps to remove personal protective equipment (PPE), Available online at https://www.who.int/csr/disease/ebola/remove_ppequipment.pdf (2020, accessed 04/12/2020).
37. Health Protection Scotland. National Guidance for Safe Management of Linen in NHSScotland, Available online at https://hpspubsrepo.blob.core.windows.net/hps-website/nss/1814/documents/1_linen-guidance-v2.2-may-2018.pdf. (2018).
38. McGovern PD, Albrecht M, Khan SK, et al. The influence of surgical hoods and togas on airborne particle concentration at the surgical site: an experimental study. *Journal of Orthopaedic Science* 2013; 18: 1027-1030. Comparative Study.
39. Fraser JF, Young SW, Valentine KA, et al. The Gown-glove Interface Is a Source of Contamination: A Comparative Study. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research* 2015; 473: 2291-2297.
40. Tayton ER, Frampton C, Hooper GJ, et al. The impact of patient and surgical factors on the rate of infection after primary total knee arthroplasty. *Bone and Joint Journal* 2016; 98B: 334-340.
41. Nakajima D, Tateiwa T, Masaoka T, et al. Does modern space suit reduce intraoperative contamination in total joint replacement? An experimental study. *European Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology* 2017; 27: 1139-1143.
42. Pasquarella C, Pitzurra O, Herren T, et al. Lack of influence of body exhaust gowns on aerobic bacterial surface counts in a mixed-ventilation operating theatre. A study of 62 hip arthroplasties. *Journal of Hospital Infection* 2003; 54: 2-9.
43. Hooper GJ, Rothwell AG, Frampton C, et al. Does the use of laminar flow and space suits reduce early deep infection after total hip and knee replacement?: the ten-year results of the New Zealand Joint Registry. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2011; 93: 85-90. DOI: doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.93B1.24862.
44. Friberg B, Friberg S, Ostensson R, et al. Surgical area contamination--comparable bacterial counts using disposable head and mask and helmet aspirator system, but dramatic increase upon omission of head-gear: an experimental study in horizontal laminar air-flow. *Journal of Hospital Infection* 2001; 47: 110-115. Comparative Study.

45. Bayan A. Surgical helmet systems and intraoperative wound contamination: A randomized controlled trial. *Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine Conference 2015*; 4. Conference Abstract.
46. Cabrini L, Landoni G and Zangrillo A. Minimise nosocomial spread of 2019-nCoV when treating acute respiratory failure. *The Lancet* 2020; 395: 685. Letter.

Appendix 1: Specific standards relating to headwear as PPE in the healthcare setting

Standard	Title	Description	Publication date
BS EN 13921:2007	Personal protective equipment. Ergonomic principles.	This standard provides guidance on the generic ergonomic characteristics related to personal protective equipment (PPE) – it does not however cover the requirements which relate to specific hazards that PPE may be designed.	September 2007.
Statutory Instruments 2018 no. 390	Health and safety- Personal protective equipment (Enforcement) Regulations 2018	The purpose of this statutory instrument (“SI”) is to provide for the enforcement of Regulation (EU) 2016/425 of the European Parliament and of the Council on PPE and repeals the older Directive 89/686/EEC.	March 2018

Legend:

BS = British Standards produced by the British Standard Institution (www.bsigroup.co.uk)

Appendix 2 Grading of recommendations

Grade	Descriptor	Levels of evidence
Mandatory	'Recommendations' that are directives from government policy, regulations or legislation	N/A
Category A	Based on high to moderate quality evidence	SIGN level 1++, 1+, 2++, 2+, AGREE strongly recommend
Category B	Based on low to moderate quality of evidence which suggest net clinical benefits over harm	SIGN level 2+, 3, 4, AGREE recommend
Category C	Expert opinion, these may be formed by the NIPC groups when there is no robust professional or scientific literature available to inform guidance.	SIGN level 4, or opinion of NIPC group
No recommendation	Insufficient evidence to recommend one way or another	N/A